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#### Abstract

Using X-ray diffraction data, it is conclusively shown that the chemical formula for the higher thorium hydride is $\mathrm{Th}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{15}$. The unit cube contains four stoichiometric molecules and the unit cell constant is $a=9 \cdot 11 \pm 0 \cdot 02 \AA$. The space group is $\overline{4} 3 d$ and the thorium atoms are in the sixteenfold positions with parameter $x=0 \cdot 208 \pm 0 \cdot 003$.

It is shown that the sixty hydrogen atoms are in positions $12(a)$ and $48(e)$. The parameter values $x=0.400, y=0 \cdot 230, z=0.372$ are suggested for the latter sites.

A thorium atom is bonded to twelve hydrogen atoms, three in positions $12(a)$ and nine in 48(e). A hydrogen atom $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ in $\mathbf{1 2 ( a )}$ is bonded to four thorium atoms, a hydrogen atom ( $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}}$ ) in $48(e)$ to three thorium atoms. The interatomic distances are $\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}=2.46 \AA, \mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}}=2.29 \AA$.

The structure is discussed in some detail.


## Introduction

Thorium metal has long (Winkler, 1891; Matignon \& Delepine, 1901; Sieverts \& Roell, 1926) been known to react with hydrogen. However, the first X-ray study of such a reaction product was not made until 1944 (Zachariasen, 1944). The investigated sample, supplied by the late Prof. E. D. Eastman of the University of California, was reported to have a composition of about $\mathrm{ThH}_{3}$. The X-ray diffraction patterns showed the presence in the sample of small amounts of $\mathrm{ThO}_{2}$. The main constituent was found to be a cubic phase with $a=9.09 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{kX}$., space group symmetry $I \overline{4} 3 d$. The unit cell was found to contain 16 thorium atoms in positions $16(c)$ with $x=0.214 \pm$ 0.010 . At the time no attempt was made to assign positions to the hydrogen atoms.
Shortly thereafter a careful study of the thoriumhydrogen system was carried out by Nottorf, Wilson, Rundle, Newton \& Powell (1945). Vapor pressure and X-ray measurements showed the presence of two hydrides. The lower hydride was found to be tetragonal and to have the ideal composition $\mathrm{ThH}_{2}$. The crystal structure of this hydride was recently described by Rundle, Shull \& Wollan (1952). The higher hydride proved to be identical with the ' $\mathrm{ThH}_{3}$ ' earlier described by this writer. However, the work of Nottorf et al. showed conclusively that the higher hydride was richer in hydrogen than indicated by the tentative formula ' $\mathrm{ThH}_{3}$ '. A sample of $\mathrm{ThH}_{3.12}$ was by X-ray patterns revealed as consisting of a mixture of $\mathrm{ThH}_{2}$ and the higher hydride. Further samples were prepared with a hydrogen:thorium ratio as high as 3.62 . As a consequence of the presence of impurities (particularly dioxide) the observed ratio of $\mathbf{3 \cdot 6 2}$ represented a lower limit. Nottorf et al. accordingly suggested that the ideal formula for the higher hydride is $\mathrm{ThH}_{3.75}$ or $\mathrm{ThH}_{4}$. The former of the two suggested formulas
was considered the more likely one since the impurities were known to be small.
It is the purpose of the present paper to report the 1944 results, and present conclusions with respect to the ideal chemical formula and the hydrogen positions.

## Structure determination

Table 1 gives X-ray diffraction data for the higher hydride of thorium, as obtained with the original sample supplied by Prof. E. D. Eastman. Apart from traces of the thorium dioxide diffraction pattern, all observations correspond to a cubic body-centered translation lattice with a unit cell constant of

$$
a=9 \cdot 11 \pm 0 \cdot 02 \AA .
$$

All reflections $\left(H_{1}, H_{1}, H_{3}\right)$ are absent if $2 H_{1}+H_{3}=$ $4 n+2$, showing that the thorium atoms are arranged according to the space-group symmetry $I \overline{4} 3 d$. Additional absences are reflections ( $H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}$ ) with $H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}$ all even and $\Sigma H_{l}=4 n+2$. These are the absences characteristic of the positions $\mathbf{1 6 ( c )}$ of the space group $I \overline{4} 3 d$ involving only one degree of freedom.
In the 1944 report the parameter for the thorium positions was given as $x=0.215 \pm 0 \cdot 010$. This determination can be improved upon. Since $I_{88}$ is observed as definitely stronger than $I_{86}$ (subscript referring to values of $\Sigma H_{i}^{2}$ ) it can be concluded that $x<0.211$. Similarly the observation $I_{62} \gg I_{56}$ requires $x>0 \cdot 205$. Hence, one may set

$$
x=0 \cdot 208_{ \pm} \pm 0 \cdot 003 .
$$

Table 2 gives the calculated values of the quantity $\left.\Sigma p|F| f\right|^{2}$, where $p$ is the multiplicity factor, $F$ the structure factor and $f$ the scattering power. This quantity can be directly compared with the observed intensity for neighboring reflections, and Table 2 shows that there is excellent agreement.

Table 1. X-ray diffraction data for $\mathrm{Th}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{15}$; radiation: $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intensity | $\sin ^{2} \theta$ | $\overbrace{\mathrm{Th}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{15}}^{H_{1}^{2}+\underbrace{2}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{3}^{2}}$ |  |
| $w$ | 0.0442 | $-\mathrm{ThO}_{2}$ |  |
| $s+$ | 0.0589 | - | - |
| $m$ | 0.0732 | 10 | 3 |
| $w$ | 0.1021 | 14 | - |
| $v w-$ | 0.1158 | 16 | - |
| $v v w$ | 0.1548 | - | 8 |
| $m-$ | 0.1602 | 22 | - |
| $w-$ | 0.1741 | 24 | - |
| $m+$ | 0.1885 | 26 | - |
| $v w-$ | 0.2115 | - | 11 |
| $m$ | 0.2168 | 30 | - |
| $v v w$ | 0.2311 | 32 | 12 |
| $w-$ | 0.2461 | 34 | - |
| $w-$ | 0.2736 | 38 | - |
| $w+$ | 0.3021 | 42 | 16 |
| $v w-$ | 0.3318 | 46 | - |
| $v w-$ | 0.3448 | 48 | - |
| $m$ | 0.3622 | 50 | 19 |
| $m$ | 0.3879 | 54 | 20 |
| $w-$ | 0.4029 | 56 | - |
| $v w-$ | 0.4177 | 58 | - |
| $m$ | 0.4458 | 62 | - |
| $v v w$ | 0.4569 | 64 | 24 |
| $w+$ | 0.4733 | 66 | - |
| $v w-$ | 0.5019 | 70 | - |
| $v w+$ | 0.147 | 73 | 27 |
| $m$ | 0.5300 | 74 | - |
| $m-$ | 0.6155 | 86 | - |
| $m$ | 0.6311 | 88 | - |

Table 2. Calculated and observed intensities

| $H_{1}^{2}+H_{2}^{2}+H_{3}^{2}$ | $\Sigma p\|F / f\|^{2}$ | Observed intensity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 24 | $w$ |
| 8 | 108 | $s+$ |
| 10 | 72 | $m$ |
| 14 | 48 | $w$ |
| 16 | 24 | $v w-$ |
| 22 | 96 | $m-$ |
| 24 | 72 | $w-$ |
| 26 | 216 | $m+$ |
| 30 | 192 | $m$ |
| 32 | 12 | $v o w^{*}$ |
| 34 | 72 | $w-$ |
| 38 | 72 | $w-$ |
| 40 | 0 | $N i l$ |
| 42 | 144 | $w+$ |
| 46 | 48 | $v w-$ |
| 48 | 56 | $v w-$ |
| 50 | 216 | $m$ |
| 54 | 264 | $m$ |
| 56 | 144 | $w-$ |
| 58 | 72 | $v w-$ |
| 62 | 240 | $m$ |
| 64 | 24 | $v v w^{*}$ |
| 66 | 192 | $w+$ |
| 70 | 48 | $v w+$ |
| 72 | 72 | $v w+$ |
| 74 | 288 | $m$ |
| 78 | 48 | Trace |
| 80 | 24 | Nil |
| 82 | 72 | Trace |
| 86 | 264 | $m-$ |
| 88 | 288 | $m$ |
| * Cincidence with $\mathrm{ThO}_{2}$ | line. |  |
|  |  |  |

It should be emphasized that the thorium atoms are uniquely found to be arranged according to space group symmetry $I 43 d$. Unless one makes highly artificial assumptions, this is consequently the symmetry of the entire structure.

Sites available for hydrogen atoms in the space group $I \overline{4} 3 d$ are: $12(a)$ and $12(b)$ without degree of freedom, $16(c)$ and $24(d)$ with one degree of freedom, and $48(e)$ with three degrees of freedom. According to the chemical data cited above, the hydrogen: thorium ratio is definitely much larger than 3,4 being the upper limit. Thus the number of hydrogen atoms per unit cell, on the basis of chemical evidence, may be given as $48 \ll n_{\text {H }} \leq 64$. If the sites $16(c)$ are occupied by hydrogen atoms, the largest attainable $\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{H}$ distance is $1.97 \AA$ (namely for $x=0.333$ ). Since the metallic radius of thorium is $1.79 \AA$, a Th-H distance of $1.97 \AA$ is impossibly small, and it is, hence, to be concluded that the sites $16(c)$ are not occupied. The number of hydrogen sites per unit cell must as a consequence be a multiple of twelve. The value of only $n_{\text {H }}$ which satisfies both this requirement and the chemical data is $n_{\mathrm{H}}=60$. The chemical formula for the higher hydride is thus $\mathrm{Th}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{15}$ with four stoichiometric molecules per unit cell. The calculated density is $\varrho=8.29$ g.cm. ${ }^{-3}$.
.The sites $24(d)$ can be occupied by at most one set of hydrogen atoms if impossibly small $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{H}$ distances are to be avoided. In order to have $n_{\mathrm{H}}=60$ the sites $48(e)$ must accordingly be occupied. But since the sites $48(e)$ are occupied, the sites $24(d)$ cannot be, then otherwise there would be an excessive number of hydrogen atoms per unit cell. If the sites $12(b)$ are occupied, the shortest distance to a thorium atom would be $2.79 \AA$, which must be regarded as an unreasonably large separation.

The arguments presented in some detail above have eliminated all but the following possibility: $12 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ atoms in $12(a)$ and $48 \mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ atoms in $48(e)$.

The thorium atoms form a network of nearly regular tetrahedra sharing corners, but having no common edges. The tetrahedral edges range from $3 \cdot 87 \AA$ to $4 \cdot 10 \AA$. There are twelve such tetrahedra per unit cell and the centers of these tetrahedra correspond to the sites $12(a)$. It is not possible to choose parameter values for the sites 48(e) such that an $\mathrm{H}_{I I}$ atom is placed equidistant from four metal atoms with a reasonable $\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ separation. However, one finds that the thorium atoms form nearly equilateral triangles (the edges being $3.87,3.95$ and $4.01 \AA$ ) which are not faces of the previously mentioned tetrahedra. There are 48 of these triangles per unit cell, the centers of the circumscribed circles corresponding to positions $48(e)$ with $x=0 \cdot 400, y=0 \cdot 230, z=0 \cdot 372$. On the basis of an exhaustive search, it can be stated that these positions are the only reasonable sites for the $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ atoms.

The structure deduced for $\mathrm{Th}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{15}$ is briefly summarized as follows:

Space group: $I \overline{4} 3 d ; n=4$.
16 Th in $16(c)$ with $x=0 \cdot 208 \pm 0 \cdot 003$.
$12 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ in $12(a)$.
$48 \mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ in $48(e)$ with $x=0 \cdot 400, y=0 \cdot 230, z=0.372$.
Although the hydrogen positions have been deduced by indirect means, the conclusions seem to leave no reasonable doubt as to their correctness. The validity of the statement can, of course be tested by means of neutron-diffraction data for $\mathrm{Th}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{15}$ or preferably for $\mathrm{Th}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{15}$.

As to the chemical formula $\mathrm{Th}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{15}$, it is proper to say that this is the ideal composition of the phase. The chemical data show, however, that the homogeneity range is narrow. The homogeneity range may extend downwards to a hydrogen: thorium ratio of $3 \cdot 4$; but it is equally possible that the composition is sharply defined as $\mathrm{Th}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{15}$.

## Discussion of the structure

The shortest interatomic distances in the structure are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Th}-3 \mathrm{Th} & =3.87 \AA & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}-4 \mathrm{Th} & =2.46 \AA \\
-2 \mathrm{Th} & =3.95 & -4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}} & =2.38 \\
-3 \mathrm{Th} & =4.10 & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}}-3 \mathrm{Th} & =2.29 \\
-3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} & =2.46 & -2 \mathrm{H}_{\amalg I} & =2.02 \\
-9 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}} & =2.29 & &
\end{aligned}
$$

The thorium-thorium separation is so much larger than the $3.59 \AA$ observed in thorium metal that the main cohesive energy must be attributed to the thorium-hydrogen bonds. Since each thorium atom is bonded to twelve hydrogen atoms it is reasonable to assign the normal metallic radius of $1.79 \AA$ to thorium. This gives a radius of $0.67 \AA$ for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and a radius of $0.50 \AA$ for $H_{\text {II }}$. Since $H_{I}$ is in tetrahedral, $H_{\text {II }}$ in triangular configuration, one would expect the effective radius of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ to be somewhat larger than that of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}}$. However, the expected radius difference is $0.04 \AA$, as against the observed value of $0.17 \AA$. It is possible that the $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ atoms as a result of $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ interaction are displaced from the ideal sites assigned to them. Thus one finds $\mathrm{Th}-9 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}}=2.37 \AA$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}}=$ $2 \cdot 29 \AA$ if the parameter values for the $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ atoms are modified to $x=0.441, y=0 \cdot 237, z=0.320$. However, in the absence of direct evidence such arguments may be regarded as idle speculation.

It is of interest for comparison purposes to cite the results obtained for $\mathrm{ThH}_{2}$ by Rundle, Shull \& Wollan. These workers found Th-8 = $2 \cdot 41 \AA$, hydrogen being in tetrahedral configuration. Since the metallic radius of thorium is $1.74 \AA$ for coordination number eight, the effective hydrogen radius comes out to be $0.67 \AA$, in exact agreement with the value found for $H_{I}$ above.

In $\mathrm{UH}_{3}$ Rundle (1951) found $\mathrm{U}-12 \mathrm{H}=2.32 \AA$ with hydrogen in tetrahedral configuration. If one adopts $0.67 \AA$ as the hydrogen radius, the uranium radius is $1.65 \AA$. This value for the uranium radius implies that the effective valence of uranium in $\mathrm{UH}_{3}$
is about $4 \cdot 6$ (see the table of metallic radii of $5 f$ elements as function of the number of valence electrons recently published by this writer (Zachariasen, 1952)). The isostructural compound $\mathrm{PaH}_{3}$ has $a=$ $6 \cdot 648 \pm 0.005 \AA$ as compared with $a=6 \cdot 645 \AA$ for $\mathrm{UH}_{3}$. Using Rundle's parameter values for hydrogen, one finds $\mathrm{Pa}-12 \mathrm{H}=2 \cdot 32$. This gives a protactinium radius of $1.65 \AA$, implying an effective valence of $4 \cdot 8$.

An obvious question to ask, and a simple one to answer, is why there exists no phase $\mathrm{ThH}_{3}$ isostructural with $\mathrm{UH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PaH}_{3}$. The $\mathrm{UH}_{3}$ type of structure may be regarded as unstable unless the metal-metal separation is greater than twice the metallic radius. A simple consideration shows that as a consequence of this requirement

$$
R_{\mathrm{H}} / R_{M} \geq \frac{5}{8} / 5-1=0.398
$$

where $R_{\text {स }}$ and $R_{M}$ are the radii of hydrogen and metal. Using the experimental value of $R_{\text {H }}=0.67 \AA$ for the tetrahedral radius of hydrogen when bonded to a $5 f$ metal atom, it follows that $R_{M} \leq 1.68 \AA$. The fictitious $\mathrm{ThH}_{3}$ structure is accordingly not stable.

Attempts to interpret observed interatomic distances in hydrides on the basis of a single value for the radius of hydrogen have not been successful. Thus Pauling's value of $0.27 \AA$ for the single-bond radius of hydrogen would give $\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}=2.25 \AA$, and $\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}}=2.21 \AA$ as against the observed values of $2 \cdot 46 \AA$ and $2 \cdot 29 \AA$. The discrepancy is, in the opinion of this writer, to be attributed to the failure of the basic assumption regarding the additivity of radii, and should not be regarded as evidence for unusual valence states of the metal atoms. In this connection it should be remarked that the distance $\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}=2.46 \AA$ is accurate to $0.01 \AA$ since there is no degree of freedom for the hydrogen atoms in the sites $12(a)$. The value given above of $\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}}=2.29 \AA$ is a minimum value, and this distance may actually be considerably larger.

The structure of $\mathrm{Th}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{15}$ will be dealt with again in a forthcoming paper on subnormal valence compounds.

Thanks are due Miss Anne Plettinger who took the diffraction patterns.
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